

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/530,085	09/530,085 08/14/2000		STEPHEN JACOBS	A31222-PCTUSA 3842		
21003	7590	02/16/2006		EXAMINER		
BAKER &			MEHRA, INDER P			
30 ROCKI NEW YOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	•			2666		
			DATE MAIL ED: 02/16/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## **Advisory Action**

Application No.	Applicant(s)	<del>\</del>
09/530,085	JACOBS ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Inder P. Mehra	2666	

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Inder P. Mehra	2666						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress					
		· ·	, 000					
HE REPLY FILED 17 November 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.  ∑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of								
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO								
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL								
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).  MENDMENTS								
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	f will not be entered l	necause					
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further core (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in beta appeal; and/or	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1)		jected claims.						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	` ''	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	:							
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>								
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ w vided below or appended.	ill be entered and an	explanation of					
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-36</u> .								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).								
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).					
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
11. $\square$ The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:					
2.   Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)								
	9,000	1 0.						

Index Pal Mehra 2/14/06

## Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

## Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Claims 1, 13 and 25 have been amended to include limitations "withholding some of the data input", "of available bandwidth and processing requirement at the receiver", and "that is consistent with the processing requirement at the receiver". These limitations raise new issues, which need additional search.

Inder Pal Mehra 2/15/06

DANG TON
PRIMARY EXAMINER